It is some times said that the countryside offers a high quality of life, specially for families. What are the arguments for and against families choosing to live and work in the countryside. For example farmers? What is your own view about this?

<u>living countrysides</u> based on numerous advantages which are available for humans can be **worth while**. Yet there remains some arguments as to whether such a place for living should be <u>considerd</u> in an <u>optimictic</u> or a pessimistic approach. While there are certainly valid arguments to the contrary, I personally believe that the benefits of living out of cities far outweigh its drawbacks.

First of all, it is indisputable <u>fact</u> that living in <u>rurall</u> areas revolutionized the way we <u>resid</u>. It is undeniable that little <u>matchs</u> the peace and tranquility of living in villages. Being surrounded by water bodies and wildlife, country dwellers find it enjoyable to do some related jobs such as forestry and animal husbandry and farming. What is really significant in this regard is <u>relaxtion</u> and a healthy lifestyle as opposed to hectic pace life and anxiety which are widespread among cities. <u>Admitedly</u>, living in a backwater and being deprived of cutting-edge facilities and amenities, youths in rural areas move to big cities in search of greater job opportunities and higher <u>quality</u> of life, hence, rural <u>unimployment</u> and depopulation. Despite some problems such as the <u>scarsity</u> of employment opportunities and even rural poverty, an abundance of organic foods and fresh air can be influential as to why people choose villages for their living. <u>Raised environmental awareness</u>, some groups of individuals would like to focus on environmentally friendly issues and allocate a major part of their lives to <u>support</u> animals and nature. Meanwhile they use fresh vegetables and fruits and are more <u>phisically</u> active which ends up in guaranteed healthy status.

Equally importantly, though, thanks to breakthrough of the internet it can be possible for some people such as managers to live in **countrysides** and use the advantages available for them while they use some **method** such as remote viewing to control their workplace, so their material considerations can partly disappear. However, problems related to the pace of the internet and the technology particularly in developing countries can pose problems to live in far-flung **vilages** and supervise workforces in cities. Nonetheless, the feeling of self-sufficiency and resistance which are exemplified in **farmers**' lives can be regarded as **as possitive** approach. A case in point is in spite of some pressing difficulties and limitations, subsistence farmers use some methods such as crop rotation to overcome some limitations in order to keep the soil healthy.

By way of conclusion, I reaffirm that living in the **rarual** areas can be looked optimistically because of its influence on healthy **issuse** and focusing on ever-growing population of cities as deterrent factor.

vahid

1			